

Removal Cost Policy and Operating Procedures (CERCLA)

United States Coast Guard

National Pollution Funds Center

4200 Wilson Blvd., Suite 1000

Arlington, VA 22203-1804

CERCLA INCIDENT COST POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR COAST GUARD OSCs

CG OSCs, operating under the National Contingency Plan, 40 CFR 300, Subpart E - Hazardous Substance Response, have access to SUPERFUND/CERCLA Fund on a reimbursable basis when responding to a hazardous substance incident. EPA annually provides to the CG NPFC an Inter-Agency Agreement (IAG) which supplies limited funding for CG OSC hazardous substance removals in the coastal zone. Under an instrument of Redelegation between the Coast Guard and EPA, the Coast Guard does not perform remedial actions under CERCLA/SARA in the coastal zone. Rather, these are performed by EPA Remedial Project Managers.

Fund Access

CG OSCs shall contact the NPFC and follow the procedures for access to the CERCLA fund when responding to a hazardous substance incident.

ACTIONS THAT CAN BE FUNDED UNDER CERCLA

The NCP, Subpart E, Sections 400, 405, 410, and 415 lay out a wide range of actions which CG OSCs may take when responding to a CERCLA incident. Any action consistent with this Subpart of the NCP can be funded under CERCLA. In addition, action taken under Section 104(b) of CERCLA and emergency assistance under the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (Stafford Act) may be funded. Finally, CERCLA may fund enforcement activities related to hazardous substance responses. However, CG OSCs are cautioned that CERCLA, unlike the OSLTF, does not contain provisions for paying claims to injured third parties, nor does EPA authorize funding via the CG to Natural Resource Trustees conducting Natural Resource Damage Assessments.

FUNDING OTHER AGENCIES SUPPORTING THE OSC

As when responding to oil incidents, the OSC is encouraged to utilize other agencies and participants within the National Response System. OSCs may fund these authorized activities using PRFAs and other incident specific funding tools that cite the CERCLA reimbursable funds provided to the OSC by the NPFC. Some federal agencies receive funding directly from EPA for certain case specific activity. The OSC should advise the NPFC case officer of any PRFAs issued to federal agencies to ensure that the CG is not issuing duplicate funding.

FUND MANAGEMEMENT DURING THE RESPONSE

Ceiling Management and Obligation Log

The OSC must always know the current financial status of a response. On large, complex cases the OSC should compare the authorized ceiling against cumulative obligations daily. For other cases, this must be done for each POLREP. The OSC must maintain a running log of obligations issued against the ceiling, and adjust the resulting ceiling balance accordingly. This ensures that the OSC does not commit more funds than are available against the ceiling authorized by the

District or the NPFC. An obligation log (also known as a Document Control Number Log) is required by the Coast Guard for financial management of all funds.

Use of LUFS

Units that are LUFS certified shall use LUFS when processing purchases and all other obligations aid for CERCLA reimbursable funds. Enter contracts, purchase orders, PRFAs, cash purchases, TONOS, etc., in LUFS. Take care to avoid entering unit AFC-30 purchases against the CPN, or incident purchases against the unit's AFC-30 funds. When more than one LUFS terminal is used on a spill (i.e., MSO and MLC(fcp), each LUFS location will generate its own DCN log. The OSC financial staff must then collect the data from each log. Using LUFS facilitates keeping a Document Control Number (DCN) log. If LUFS is not used, then the unit must establish and maintain a "purchases" DCN log for the incident.

Maximum Fund Limits

- 1) CG OSCs may not obligate more than \$250,000 for an incident without an approved Action Memorandum. (See Paragraph 6.)
- 2) CERCLA limits removal actions to no more than \$2,000,000 or 12 months' duration. EPA may grant incident specific waivers to this requirement.

SPECIAL OSC REQUIREMENTS FOR CERCLA INCIDENTS

Finding of Imminent and Substantial Endangerment

The CG/EPA Memoranda of Understanding and its resulting funding agreement place special requirements on the OSC. If a response requires less than \$250,000 in funding, the OSC must document a finding of imminent and substantial endangerment. This finding may be included in the situation description section of POLREP One, and at a minimum must include:

- 1) the hazardous substance(s), pollutant(s), or contaminant(s) involved;
- 2) description of what is affected or threatened (people, animals, crops, drinking water, etc.);
- 3) a statement indicating that this situation presents an imminent and substantial threat to public health, welfare, or the environment;
- 4) description of the response action necessary to neutralize the threat.

Action Memorandum

Coast Guard OSCs are required to prepare an Action Memorandum whenever the removal ceiling exceeds \$250,000.

- 1) An Action Memorandum is a standard EPA document used in managing Superfund. Detailed instructions are contained in EPA publication, OSWER DIRECTIVE 9360.3-01, Superfund Removal Procedures. A copy of this directive is found at Chapter 3, Subtab "Action Memo Guidance". If necessary, NPFC(cf) can provide a copy. Use the model Action Memorandum to define the substance of the memorandum, skipping references and sections specific to EPA.

- 2) Action Memorandums shall be prepared by the OSC and forwarded via the chain of command to Commandant (G-MRO). The OSC shall concurrently provide a copy of the Action Memorandum and subsequent endorsements to the NPFC.
- 3) The NPFC and COMDT (G-MRO) will coordinate approval of the Action Memorandum and any funding issues, including IAG Amendments, that accompany it.
- 4) When the CG has sufficient funds to cover the ceiling and additional funding is not required from EPA, COMDT (G-MRO) will approve the Action Memorandum, advise NPFC, and forward the Action Memorandum to EPA/ERD prior to the CG OSC obligating more than \$250,000.
- 5) When NPFC advises COMDT (G-MRO) that additional funding is required from EPA, COMDT (G-MEP) will forward the draft Action Memorandum to EPA/ERD for review and timely comment. The NPFC will coordinate the IAG Amendment that provides the additional funding with EPA/ERD. Upon concurrence by EPA/ERD with the draft Action Memorandum and the IAG increase, COMDT (G-MRO) will approve the Action Memorandum and NPFC will increase the incident ceiling to the approved amount.
- 6) When a CG OSC needs to act immediately while an Action Memorandum is being prepared, advise the NPFC. EPA/ERD may extend an oral commitment of funding and/or permission for the OSC to exceed the \$250,000 obligation limit.

Resource Documentation Requirements

CG OSCs shall follow the procedures of the NPFC Resource Documentation TOPs for all CERCLA incidents. The forms are equally applicable to CERCLA funded incidents. CG OSCs must be guided by the fact that all CERCLA costs are reimbursable in nature. The Coast Guard pays them initially, and then must bill EPA for replenishment of the funds. Costs that are not adequately documented and reported to the NPFC, and therefore cannot be billed to EPA, must be paid by the Coast Guard from existing budgeted operating funds.

- 1) Within 30 days of the completion of a CERCLA response, CG OSCs shall submit the Cost Summary Report required by the NPFC Resource Documentation TOPS.

Units shall retain all records associated with a CERCLA response for 10 years following submission of the Cost Summary Report to the NPFC.